



# FPD China 2014

**Geoff Walker**  
Senior Touch  
Technologist



# *Selecting Transparent Conductors for Touch*

# Introduction...1

---

- ❖ **Metal mesh (silver & copper)**
- ❖ **Silver nanowires**
- ❖ **Carbon nanotubes (“CNTs”)**
- ❖ **Conductive polymers (PEDOT)**
  
- ❖ **But not graphene...yet**

# Introduction...2

---

- ❖ Intel does NOT have a “favorite” transparent conductor
- ❖ Intel likes them all because (depending on the selection) they offer...
  - ◆ Lower cost
  - ◆ Higher performance
  - ◆ New capabilities
- ❖ The goal of this presentation is to explore what to consider when selecting a transparent conductor, NOT to recommend the best one

# Introduction...3

---

## ❖ Caution!

- ◆ You must assume that every supplier is not truthful about competitive materials or suppliers
- ◆ All material comparison-charts are “rigged” in favor of the creator, out of date, or just plain wrong
- ◆ This is “business as usual” for marketing technical products, but the amount of misinformation circulating about transparent conductors seems unusually high

**Note:** *This market is highly competitive and secretive, so there may be errors in my presentation!*

# Factors To Consider

---

- ❖ **Optical performance**
- ❖ **Electrical performance**
- ❖ **Physical characteristics**
- ❖ **Reliability**
- ❖ **Cost**

# Optical Performance...1

---

## ❖ Visibility in front of LCD

- ◆ Nano: Conductor size is too small to see
- ◆ Micro: Wider conductors (e.g., 3-6 microns) visibly block light from LCD
  - Conductors are currently  $\sim 3 \mu\text{m}$ , heading for  $1 \mu\text{m}$  or less

## ❖ Transparency

- ◆ For opaque conductors, it's how much open area is available
  - Nano: Hard to calculate; just measured
  - Micro: Simple geometry calculation – but you need to know if the two meshes are aligned or staggered
- ◆ For true transparent conductors (e.g., polymers), it's the transmissivity

# Optical Performance...2

---

## ❖ Effect of ambient light

- ◆ Nano: More reflective wire-surfaces tends to increase haze
  - Substrate film also contributes to haze
  - CNTs have the lowest reflectivity of all
- ◆ Micro: Anti-reflective coating (e.g., black ink) on conductor varies in effectiveness
  - Coating may not cover the sides of the conductor
  - Nobody (yet) can coat the underneath of the lower conductor on a double-sided film
  - Nobody (yet) has a good solution for reducing visibility outdoors (i.e., in very strong ambient light)



# Optical Performance...3

---

## ❖ Moiré patterns

- ◆ Nano: Random conductor-arrangement and nano scale prevents the problem
- ◆ Micro: Some (but not all) suppliers have 100% eliminated moiré patterns, even at 400 ppi, using algorithms that adjust mesh spacing and angle relative to the LCD pixel structure so that the resulting interference frequencies are not visible
  - However, this makes the sensor pattern unique to a specific LCD or family of LCDs

## ❖ Color shift

- ◆ ITO: Well-known for yellow color shift ( $b^* > 2$ )
- ◆ Silver & polymer: Moderate ( $1 < b^* < 2$ )
- ◆ Copper & CNTs: Lowest ( $b^* < 0.5$ )

# Electrical Performance

---

## ❖ Sheet resistivity is a very important specification

- ◆ Sheet resistivity is a function of the material resistance and the conductor cross-section area
  - Sheet resistivity continues to decrease in general
  - Lowest to date is  $<1 \Omega/\square$  (probably at 88% transparency)
- ◆ For larger screens, low sheet-resistivity allows...
  - Charging the capacitors (electrode intersections) faster, which allows...
    - Sensing more electrodes in a given amount of time, OR
    - Taking less time to do the sensing (less subject to noise), OR
    - Increasing the frame rate (samples per second)
- ◆ For smaller screens, low sheet-resistivity isn't that important
  - Conductive polymers and CNTs work well

# Physical Characteristics...1

---

## ❖ Flexibility

- ◆ “Conformable”: Bend once in manufacturing, or at very low radius on the user’s wrist
- ◆ “Rollable”: Bend 10K times at 4-25 mm radius
- ◆ “Foldable”: Bend >100K times at <1 mm radius
  - CNTs & polymers: Best
  - Nanowires: Better
  - Micro mesh: Good
  - ITO: Poor, unless it’s in a structure that eliminates all stress (ITRI, 2013 – interesting lab experiment; impractical in the real world)

## ❖ 3D-formed designs

- ◆ Most materials can do this today
  - Some are easier to do it with than others

# Physical Characteristics...2

---

## ❖ **Narrow borders**

- ◆ Mostly a function of manufacturing method for the routing traces
  - Photolithography
  - Gravure printing
  - Flexographic printing
  - Screen printing
    - Starting to see printing of conductive inks color-matched to decoration; eliminates need for silver

## ❖ **True single-layer sensors**

- ◆ “Borderless” is another name for single-layer (all traces on one end)
  - Nano-scale may be better for borderless due to lack of visibility
- ◆ ITO isn't rolling over and giving up
  - True single-layer ITO sensors in the “caterpillar” pattern that support 2 or 3 reliable touches are becoming common in low-end phones; reported sensor film cost in China is \$0.70 for 4.3 inches on PET

# Physical Characteristics...3

---

## ❖ Double-sided sensors (GF2)

- ◆ Some suppliers are not equipped to pattern/print both sides of a single piece of film, so it's common to see GFF type of structures
  - 2X film cost, 2x lamination & OCA cost, possibly lower yield

## ❖ Routed sensor with integrated tail

- ◆ It's possible to pattern the touch electrodes, routing traces, and sensor-tail connections all in one process (but not one step)
  - Reduces cost by eliminating processes

## ❖ Thinner cover glass

- ◆ Some suppliers claim that the physical arrangement of their metal-mesh touch electrodes allow thinning the cover glass from 0.55 mm to 0.4 mm due to e-field uniformity

# Reliability

---

## ❖ **Metal-mesh redundancy**

- ◆ “Grid” touch-electrode pattern provides multiple conductive paths in each electrode so that if one path breaks it has no effect
- ◆ “Diamond” touch-electrode pattern reduces redundancy significantly at narrow crossovers (8-10x → 2.5x)

## ❖ **Metal mesh corrosion**

- ◆ Hard-coat on substrate may eventually result in metal corrosion and/or peeling

## ❖ **Long-term environmental tests**

- ◆ Done only by some suppliers; too soon for reliable results

# Cost...1

---

## ❖ Material cost

- ◆ Silver costs more than copper, but in the amounts used the difference doesn't seem to be very significant

## ❖ Patterning method

- ◆ Photolithography + wet etching
  - Traditional & most common method but most expensive
- ◆ Laser etching
  - Cost and TACT time is rapidly dropping (especially with multi-head lasers); a 4.3" ITO phone-sensor can now be patterned in <4 seconds with a single-head 10-watt laser
  - CNTs can be laser-patterned very quickly due to carbon material
- ◆ Gravure or flexographic printing
  - Current low-cost methods gaining traction
- ◆ Micro-contact printing (also called "nano-imprinting")
  - Uses PDMS stamp; also low-cost

## ❖ TACT time

- ◆ Function of patterning method and total number of process steps
  - “Roll-to-roll” photolithographic patterning for mesh is typically “advance the roll then pause for ~10 seconds”
  - Roll-to-roll processing for silver nanowires is continuous

## ❖ Manufacturing CAPEX

- ◆ More expensive manufacturing methods (e.g., photolithography + etching) require more expensive capital equipment, including waste-water processing
  - Equipment depreciation is part of the product cost
  - The difference in CAPEX between methods can be 5X or more



# Cost...3

---

## ❖ **Business model**

- ◆ Sensor-film only supplier
- ◆ More vertically integrated sensor/module supplier

## ❖ **Product cost**

- ◆ Market pricing: Undercut ITO just enough to get the business
- ◆ Margin pricing: Make a reasonable margin over your costs
- ◆ Get-the-business pricing: Sell at or below cost if necessary

## ❖ **Current oversupply condition in >10-inch sensors may be distorting the pricing picture**

# Example Suppliers & Production Methods...1

---

## ❖ Copper mesh

- ◆ Atmel: Photolithography
- ◆ LG Innotek: Photolithography or nano-imprinting
- ◆ Unipixel: Flexographic printing

## ❖ Silver mesh

- ◆ O-Film: Gravure printing
- ◆ LG Innotek: Photolithography or nano-imprinting
- ◆ FujiFilm: Photographic (silver halide) process; requires silver recovery due to amount of silver used
- ◆ Cima NanoTech: Self-assembling random silver mesh starting from liquid coating
- ◆ ClearJet: Inkjet-printed “coffee rings”

# Example Suppliers & Production Methods...2

---

## ❖ Silver nanowires

- ◆ Cambrios: Ink-focused
- ◆ Clearstream: Solution-processed film-focused

## ❖ Carbon nanotubes

- ◆ Canatu: Proprietary dry-coated film followed by laser etching

## ❖ Conductive polymers

- ◆ Heraeus/Kodak: Printable with slot-die, dip, flexographic, gravure, inkjet, spin-coating

# Recommendations

---

- ❖ **Discard all supplier-generated comparison charts and create your own**
  - ◆ Include all the considerations in this presentation
  - ◆ Only trust information from a supplier on their own product
- ❖ **Prioritize your detailed needs**
  - ◆ Optical, electrical, cost, etc.
- ❖ **Consider multiple suppliers for each material and each production method**

# Conclusions

---

- ❖ **Transparent-conductor technology is still moving very fast**
- ❖ **Buyer beware – do your homework!**



# Thank You!

Intel Corporation  
2200 Mission College Blvd.  
Santa Clara, CA 95054

408-506-7556 mobile  
408-765-1966 fax

geoff.walker@intel.com  
www.intel.com  
www.walkermobile.com

**File Download:** [www.walkermobile.com/FPD\\_China\\_2014\\_Transparent\\_Conductors.pdf](http://www.walkermobile.com/FPD_China_2014_Transparent_Conductors.pdf)

# Legal Disclaimer

All products, computer systems, dates, and figures specified are preliminary based on current expectations, and are subject to change without notice.

Performance tests and ratings are measured using specific computer systems and/or components and reflect the approximate performance of Intel® products as measured by those tests. Any difference in system hardware or software design or configuration may affect actual performance. Buyers should consult other sources of information to evaluate the performance of systems or components they are considering purchasing. For more information on performance tests and on the performance of Intel® products, visit [Intel Performance Benchmark Limitations](#)

Results have been estimated based on internal Intel® analysis and are provided for informational purposes only. Any difference in system hardware or software design or configuration may affect actual performance.

Results have been simulated and are provided for informational purposes only. Results were derived using simulations run on an architecture simulator or model. Any difference in system hardware or software design or configuration may affect actual performance

Intel® does not control or audit the design or implementation of third party benchmarks or Web sites referenced in this document. Intel® encourages all of its customers to visit the referenced Web sites or others where similar performance benchmarks are reported and confirm whether the referenced benchmarks are accurate and reflect performance of systems available for purchase.

Intel® processor numbers are not a measure of performance. Processor numbers differentiate features within each processor family, not across different processor families. See [www.intel.com/products/processor\\_number](http://www.intel.com/products/processor_number) for details.

Intel®, processors, chipsets, and desktop boards may contain design defects or errors known as errata, which may cause the product to deviate from published specifications. Current characterized errata are available on request.

Hyper-Threading Technology requires a computer system with a processor supporting HT Technology and an HT Technology-enabled chipset, BIOS and operating system. Performance will vary depending on the specific hardware and software you use. For more information including details on which processors support HT Technology, see <http://www.intel.com/info/hyperthreading>

Intel® Virtualization Technology requires a computer system with a processor, chipset, BIOS, virtual machine monitor (VMM) and applications enabled for virtualization technology. Functionality, performance or other virtualization technology benefits will vary depending on hardware and software configurations. Virtualization technology-enabled BIOS and VMM applications are currently in development.

Intel® Turbo Boost Technology requires a PC with a processor with Intel® Turbo Boost Technology capability. Intel® Turbo Boost Technology performance varies depending on hardware, software and overall system configuration. Check with your PC manufacturer on whether your system delivers Intel® Turbo Boost Technology. For more information, see <http://www.intel.com/technology/turboboost>.

64-bit computing on Intel® architecture requires a computer system with a processor, chipset, BIOS, operating system, device drivers and applications enabled for Intel® 64 architecture. Performance will vary depending on your hardware and software configurations. Consult with your system vendor for more information.

Lead-free: 45nm product is manufactured on a lead-free process. Lead is below 1000 PPM per EU RoHS directive (2002/95/EC, Annex A). Some EU RoHS exemptions for lead may apply to other components used in the product package.

Halogen-free: Applies only to halogenated flame retardants and PVC in components. Halogens are below 900 PPM bromine and 900 PPM chlorine.

Intel®, Intel® Xeon®, Intel® Core™ microarchitecture, and the Intel® logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel® Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries.

© 2008 Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC) logo is reprinted with permission

Roadmap not reflective of exact launch granularity and timing – please refer to ILU guidance

Software and workloads used in performance tests may have been optimized for performance only on Intel microprocessors. Performance tests, such as SYSmark and MobileMark, are measured using specific computer systems, components, software, operations and functions. Any change to any of those factors may cause the results to vary. You should consult other information and performance tests to assist you in fully evaluating your contemplated purchases, including the performance of that product when combined with other products.